## Luminance and Brightness Data for the Full Moon Near Perigee on January 11<sup>th</sup>, 2009

## by Elmar Schmidt

SRH University of Applied Sciences, Heidelberg, Germany, elmar5@web.de

Measurements of the moon's luminance *L* near the full moon of Jan. 11<sup>th</sup>, 2009, 3:29 UT were performed with an absolutely calibrated industrial standard photometer and gave, on the average over the lunar disk, record values in the range of  $L = 4900...5400 \text{ cd/m}^2$  (candela per square meter). These values were determined under cold and dry sky conditions (the ground-level relative humidity being under 10%) from three sites near Dortmund, and south of Heidelberg, all in Germany. The measurements were reduced to unit clear air mass, i.e. to a moon fictitiously positioned in the zenith and observed from a sea-level position. [1] In addition to the excellent atmospheric transparency, two more effects were contributing to the extremely high luminance values of this full moon:

- a) the earth was only one week past its perihelion position, so the intensity of the sun's illuminance in the earth-moon system was 3.3% above the average value on Jan. 11<sup>th</sup>
- b) the recent full moon hardly escaped the earth's penumbral shadow, its phase angle (i.e. the angle at the vertex of the earth moon-sun-triangle) reaching the very small value of 1.64°. This brings into play a strong "opposition effect", a combination of almost complete filling of any shadows on the lunar soil with some "cat's eye" like retroreflexion. The effect resulted in an increase of more than 25% in the lunar luminance as compared to a "typical" full moon near phase angles of 5°.

The luminance of most normal surfaces is independent from the distance to the observer, so it does not change along the highly eccentric elliptical orbit of the moon for any given solar distance and phase angle. This is of course not true for the luminous intensity or total brightness of the moon, which is directly proportional to the subtended solid angle. So it is clear that

c) the perigee position of the Jan. 11<sup>th</sup>, 2009, full moon, further increased its total brightness by another 15.4%

After conversion to the astronomers' logarithmic brightness magnitude scale, our data resulted in a peak value of  $-(13.23\pm0,05)m_{vis}$ . This is half a magnitude brighter than the "typical" full moon's brightness in the average earth distance, and translates into a linear effect of more than 50%. According to the combined factors a), b), c) as mentioned above, this hints to an opposition effect of at least (25-30)%, which coincides with other studies. [2]

The following diagrams show our data, taken from January 9<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009, over lunar phase angle on both luminance and brightness scales. The curved lines serve just as a guide for the eye. They cannot be extrapolated to phase angles smaller than 1.5°, because this is, when the moon would enter a penumbral eclipse. Therefore a "true" full moon is a spacebourne sight.

- [1] N. Hernitschek, E. Schmidt, and M. Vollmer; Appl. Optics **47** (no.34), 62 (2008)
- [2] B.J. Buratti, M. Staid, C.M. Pieters et al., Lunar Planet. Sci, **39**, 1471 (2008) www.lpi.usra.edu/meetings/lpsc2008/pdf/1471.pdf

The hospitality of Rainer Kleinschmidt et al. from "Väteraufbruch für Kinder e.V. Dortmund" is gratefully acknowledged, because part of the data was taken from their well-heated facilities...



